



USAGE OF PROTECTIVE CLOTHING FOR SPRAYING CHEMICALS IN AGRICULTURE

Mohit kumar^{1*}, Ankita Sharma², Garima Chauhan², Yashika² and Sanjana²

¹Assistant Professor (Sr. Scale), Dr. KSGACA, Eternal University, Baru Sahib (HP)-173101

²B.Sc. (Hons.) Agriculture Student, Eternal University, Baru Sahib (HP)-173101

*Corresponding Author Mail ID: drmohitarec@eternaluniversity.edu.in

Abstract

Agricultural workforce are often exposed to pesticide, herbicide and fertilizers that are severe health risks that result in both acute and chronic diseases. PPE stands crucial for avoiding such risks Protective clothing is among the most essential protective measures that are embraced to reduce such risks in project. But more often, protective gears are uncomfortable, hot and increase the heat stress in workers especially in hot environments. In this paper, the author explores the need for protective clothing, concerns for hot environments and effective approaches to achieving a balance between protective safety and thermal comfort, as well as best approaches towards improving the conditions of workers' protective clothing performance. This supports the value of education, water and a proper plan to ensure that agriculture is carried out safely and in the right manner.

Keywords: Agriculture, protective clothing and pesticide etc.

Introduction

Agriculture is one of the biggest global employers with millions of people who use chemicals to increase production and for controlling pests. Nonetheless, the use of pesticides and chemicals similar to these is dangerous to people's health. FAO further points out that about 200000 people die every year from pesticide poisoning the vast majority of them from developing countries where protective measures are inadequate.

A study was done by the National Institute of Occupational Health in India showed

that 57% of agricultural workers who were interviewed claimed that they suffered adverse effects of pesticides on their health. Protective clothing is a critical safeguard, yet its usage in hot and humid climates poses a dual challenge. Although it helps in minimizing the contact with chemicals, it has likely effects on heat related illnesses. Meeting this presents another challenge that a proper strategy involving proper protective gear for safety measures, proper cooling devices and techniques and favorable work environment for comfort of the agricultural workers.

Importance of protective clothing in chemical spraying

- ✚ **Health Protection:** Prevents skin contact, respiratory tract inflammation or irritation, eye irritation in response to direct or indirect contact with dangerous chemicals.
- ✚ **Long-term Risk Mitigation:** Lowers the incidence of non-communicable diseases including cancer, neurological disorders and respiratory diseases that come with long time exposure to toxic products.
- ✚ **Legal Compliance:** Employers are legally bound to observe existing standards relating to occupational safety including supply of protective wear in accordance with one of its conventions and recommendations that is the ILO convention and recommendation 184 on safety in mines as well as the national laws.
- ✚ **Economic Efficiency:** Hence protective wear increases production since it cuts

on absenteeism and cost of health complications occasioned by Pesticides.

Key considerations for protective clothing in hot climates

1. Protective Clothing Specifications

Chemical Resistance: Employment of polymers like laminated polyethylene or polyester for designing means enhanced chemical repellency.

Breathable Fabrics: Non apparels, moisture control fabrics that can help in the circulation of air and therefore control heat.

Full Coverage Design: Provides shoulder-to-toe coverage, such as long sleeves, trouser-wear and critical part-items such as gloves, goggles, and boots.

Ventilation Enhancements: Elements that are accompaniments, including mesh insets, air-port openings, or stoma pockets for improved heat exchange typically in vests.

2. Climate-Specific Modifications

Cooling Technology: Integration of phase-change materials (PCM) or evaporative cooling fabrics in protective gear.

Light-Colored Fabrics: Reflective colors to reduce heat absorption and enhance worker comfort.

Practical strategies to enhance safety and comfort

1. Scheduling and Environmental Adjustments

Optimal Timing: Apply pesticide during cooler times of the day e.g early morning or late in the evening.

Rest Periods: Breaks, during which the workers can take a break in a shaded or aired section.

Site Modifications: Such as installing portable fans, cooling station or shaded working areas.

2. Hydration and Heat Management

Hydration Protocols: Make sure workers drink water and other high electrolyte-containing products to avoid instances of dehydration.

Cooling Breaks: For high heat generation jobs, include time breaks to reduce body temperature.

3. Education and Training

Awareness Programs: Educate employees on the dangers of exposure to chemicals and apparatus, safe application of outfits and clues of heat stress.

Emergency Readiness: Provide workers with first-aid kits along with teaching them how to control pesticide poisoning or heat stress.

4. Monitoring and Support

Wearable Health Monitors: Devices to track body temperature, heart rate and hydration levels in real-time.

Buddy Systems: Pairing workers to monitor each other's well-being during operations.

Innovative solutions

- **Cooling Vests:** Incorporating PCM vests that maintain a stable body temperature for extended periods.
- **UV-Resistant Coatings:** Protective clothing with UV-protection features to shield against sun exposure.
- **Smart PPE:** Development of smart wearables that alert workers and supervisors to potential hazards, such as overheating or chemical leaks.
- **Layering Options:** Use of modular clothing systems that allow for adjustments based on temperature and task requirements.

Challenges and recommendations

Challenges

Heat Stress: Increased risk of dehydration and heat exhaustion in tropical climates.

Cost Barriers: High-quality PPE may be unaffordable for small-scale farmers.

Awareness Gaps: Limited knowledge about proper PPE usage in rural areas.

Recommendations

Subsidies and Incentives: Government support to make protective clothing accessible to low-income farmers.

Community Awareness Campaigns: Collaboration with agricultural extension services to educate workers on PPE importance.

Maintenance Protocols: Regular cleaning and inspection of protective gear to maintain effectiveness.

Conclusion

Personal protective equipment is unreplaceable for shielding agricultural workers from chemical risks. But this is especially a challenging task to achieve in hot climates because the wearer wants to be protected from the scorching sun and harsh UV radiation. That is why best designed vessels, proper training courses and necessary supportive personnel policies can help in overcoming these challenges. Government, businesses and employees need to work together to focus on existing safety measures that should be at par with productivity and sustainability within farming. A safer workforce does not only translate to better quality of livelihoods, but also offers a firmer ground to world food security.

References

1. FAO. (1984). *FAO Panel of experts on pesticide specifications, registration requirements and application standards: Group on Pesticide Registration Requirements. Report of fifth meeting, Rome, 10-14 December 1984*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
2. Litchfield, M. H. (1988). A review of the requirements for protective clothing for agricultural workers in hot climates. In S. Mansdorf, J. Sager, & L. Nielsen (Eds.), *Performance of protective clothing: Second symposium* (ASTM STP 989, pp. 796–801). ASTM International. <https://doi.org/10.1520/STP22843S>
3. GIFAP-FAO. (1989). *Field evaluation of protective clothing materials in a tropical climate*. GIFAP-FAO Working Group on Protective Clothing for Hot Climates.
4. GIFAP. (1989). *Guidelines for personal protection when using pesticides in hot climates*. GIFAP.
5. World Health Organization. (1988). *The WHO recommended classification of pesticides by hazard and guidelines to*

classification 1988-1989 (WHO/VBC/88.953). World Health Organization.

6. WHO. (2004). *The WHO recommended classification of pesticides by hazard and guidelines to classification 2004-2005* (WHO/PCS/04.27). World Health Organization.
7. Mansdorf, S., Sager, J., & Nielsen, L. (Eds.). (1988). *Performance of protective clothing: Second symposium* (ASTM STP 989). ASTM International. <https://doi.org/10.1520/STP989>
8. FAO/WHO. (1995). *International code of conduct on the distribution and use of pesticides*. FAO/WHO.