Volume 03, Issue 09, 2025
ISSN: 2584-153X
Article ID: G-25-0913

IDENTIFICATION AND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR EMERGING PLANT DISEASES

Ragul S*, Rajaravi C, Rekha G, Sowmiya V, Ramya K, Midhula B N

Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Hindusthan College of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore-641032

**Corresponding Author Mail ID: ragul.agri@hicet.ac.in*

Abstract

Emerging plant diseases are increasingly challenging global agriculture and food security. These threats are linked to factors such as climate change, expanded international trade, intensive farming techniques, and genetic changes in pathogens. Such diseases can decrease crop yields and quality, while simultaneously raise management expenses and put additional strain on developing economies. Detecting these diseases early is difficult; traditional methods like field inspections and laboratory tests are often limited by cost, subjectivity, or the need for prior knowledge of the pathogen. Recent technological progress—like next-generation sequencing, CRISPR-based diagnostics, biosensors, and artificial intelligence—has greatly improved the speed and accuracy of disease identification. Management strategies now include a combination of biological and chemical controls, quarantine, adoption of good agricultural practices, integrated disease management, breeding disease-resistant crops, and ongoing research efforts. Effectively combating emerging plant diseases calls for combining cutting-edge diagnostics with ecological, genetic, and policy-driven solutions. Encouraging international collaboration, raising farmer awareness, and investing in robust agricultural systems are key steps to minimize risks and secure future food supply.

Introduction

Emerging plant diseases are caused by pathogens that have recently evolved, broadened their host range, or become active under suitable environmental conditions. These diseases can lead to considerable economic losses for farmers by reducing crop yields and quality. Identification of plant diseases helps forecast disease prevalence and plan management strategies. Emerging pathogens—bacteria, fungi, viruses, or nematodes—are those increasing in frequency, distribution, or virulence (Morris *et al.,* 2019). Factors such as climate change, changes in farming practices, and global trade contribute to the rise of new diseases. Genetic mechanisms like mutations, hybridization, sexual recombination, horizontal gene transfer, and nuclear exchange all play important roles in the development of new or more aggressive plant diseases. For example, mutations can create new pathogen variants, while hybridization and recombination can result in more susceptible plant varieties. Horizontal gene transfer between species may introduce traits that increase vulnerability to infections, and nuclear exchange can influence plant disease resistance (Jarosz *et al.,* 1995). These factors collectively drive the emergence and spread of plant diseases, affecting global agriculture and food security. Pathogens can be classified by type—bacterial, viral, fungal, or nematode—or by their mode of transmission, such as seeds, insect vectors, or soil, each influencing management methods (Kumar N *et al.,* (2021). Understanding these distinctions is essential for effective disease management. The impact of new diseases is particularly severe in developing countries, where farming systems may be less resilient. Significant crop failures, like those during the 2008 global food crisis, demonstrate how vulnerable food security is to these threats. Since agriculture employs a large share of the global workforce, especially in developing nations, crop losses can set off a chain reaction of poverty and unemployment. Protecting crop production from emerging diseases is critical for economic and food security (Nazarov P.A *et al.,* (2021).

Challenges in Early Disease Detection

Early detection is vital for effective plant disease management, yet conventional approaches face significant limitations. Traditional methods such as field inspections and laboratory assays are labour-intensive, subjective, and often unable to detect latent or low-level infections. Visual assessments, while cost-effective, are prone to error due to overlapping symptoms caused by nutrient deficiencies, drought, or insect damage. Serological techniques, such as ELISA, improve specificity but depend on the availability of antibodies, making them unsuitable for detecting newly emerging pathogens Martinelli, *et al.,* (2015). Molecular methods such as PCR have become the gold standard due to their sensitivity and accuracy; however, they require prior genetic knowledge of the pathogen, expensive equipment, and skilled personnel. Although next-generation sequencing and machine learning are transforming early diagnostics, challenges such as high costs, computational demands, and data interpretation continue to restrict their routine application.

Traditional Plant Disease Diagnostics

Visual Inspections and Field Surveys

Field-based inspections are the oldest and most widely applied diagnostic tools, relying on observable symptoms such as wilting, necrosis, or abnormal growth. These methods are often the first line of defense in disease identification. However, symptom-based diagnosis is subjective and often delayed, as visible signs typically appear only after infection has advanced. Similarities between pathogen damage and abiotic stresses further complicate accuracy. Advances such as digital imaging and AI-based recognition tools are helping to improve reliability, though large datasets and proper calibration remain necessary for practical field use Mastin A.J *et al.,* (2022).

Serological Techniques

Serological assays, particularly ELISA, are widely used due to their specificity and adaptability to large-scale surveys. These methods detect pathogen antigens using antibodies, making them useful for diagnosing viral, bacterial, and fungal diseases. Despite their success, limitations include cross-reactivity, reliance on pathogen-specific antibodies, and relatively low sensitivity compared to molecular diagnostics. Portable versions such as lateral flow assays have been developed for field use, though their sensitivity remains lower than laboratory-based methods Patel R.N (2016).

Molecular Methods

PCR and its variants have revolutionized disease detection by enabling the amplification of pathogen DNA or RNA even at very low concentrations. Techniques such as quantitative PCR (qPCR) allow both detection and quantification, while RT-PCR is especially useful for diagnosing RNA viruses. Despite their advantages, PCR methods are resource-intensive and limited to known pathogens. Innovations such as multiplex PCR, digital PCR, and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) are expanding diagnostic capabilities, with LAMP in particular offering a rapid, portable, and cost-effective tool for field conditions Dhavale, M.C *et al.,* (2025).

Emerging Diagnostic Technologies

Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS)

NGS provides a powerful platform for comprehensive detection and characterization of plant pathogens. Unlike targeted methods, it can sequence all genetic material in a sample, enabling the discovery of previously unknown pathogens and co-infections. Metagenomic approaches are particularly valuable for profiling microbial communities and identifying causal agents of novel diseases Although NGS is transformative, high costs, complex bioinformatics requirements, and variability in sensitivity limit its widespread adoption. Portable sequencing technologies, however, are making real-time, field-based pathogen detection increasingly feasible Donso A *et al.,* (2018).

CRISPR-Based Detection

CRISPR-based diagnostics harness the precision of CRISPR-Cas proteins to identify pathogen DNA or RNA with exceptional sensitivity and speed. Platforms such as SHERLOCK and DETECTR can deliver results within minutes and are adaptable for use in field conditions through lateral flow devices. Their programmability allows rapid customization for new pathogens, making CRISPR tools highly versatile. Nonetheless, challenges such as optimizing guide RNA design and scaling up field deployment remain to be addressed Wang *et al.,* (2022).

Biosensors and Portable Devices

Biosensors integrate biological recognition elements with physical transducers to detect pathogen molecules. They offer rapid, cost-effective, and portable solutions, with applications ranging from electrochemical sensors to optical devices. Recent innovations include paper-based sensors and smartphone-compatible platforms that enable on-site testing. Despite progress, issues such as stability, environmental sensitivity, and validation under real-world conditions continue to pose challenges Buja I *et al.,* (2021).

Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence

AI and machine learning are increasingly applied in plant pathology to analyze images, sensor outputs, and genomic data for disease detection. Image-based deep learning models have shown remarkable accuracy in diagnosing foliar diseases, while AI-powered systems integrated with drones and satellites enhance large-scale surveillance. Predictive models are also being developed to forecast outbreaks based on environmental and biological data. However, the effectiveness of AI tools depends heavily on data quality, availability of training datasets, and interpretability of algorithms Jackulin *et al.,* (2022).

Management Strategies for Emerging Plant Diseases Pathogen control

1. Biological Control

Biological control agents (BCAs) offer an eco-friendly and sustainable alternative to chemical pesticides. Beneficial microbes such as Trichoderma spp., Pseudomonas spp., and Bacillus spp. suppress pathogens by competing for nutrients, producing antimicrobial compounds, or inducing systemic resistance in plants. Natural enemies such as predatory insects, nematodes, and viruses also play a role in suppressing disease vectors. BCAs are target-specific, decompose naturally without polluting the environment, and are effective even in small doses. Advances in microbial formulation technologies, including biofertilizers, biopesticides, and seed coatings, are enhancing the reliability and commercial use of biological control in agriculture Fira *et al.,* (2018).

2. Chemical Control

Chemical pesticides remain one of the most widely used methods for plant disease management due to their effectiveness and quick action. Fungicides, bactericides, and nematicides can control a wide range of pathogens by inhibiting cell wall synthesis, disrupting metabolic pathways, or preventing spore germination. However, overreliance on chemicals has led to environmental pollution, reduced soil fertility, adverse effects on non-target organisms, and the emergence of pesticide-resistant pathogen strains. To mitigate these issues, judicious use of chemicals is recommended, including rotation of active ingredients, targeted application, and integration with biological and cultural methods Hirooka T *et al.,* (2013).

3. Quarantine and Biosecurity

Preventing the introduction of exotic pathogens is one of the most cost-effective strategies for plant disease management. Strict quarantine regulations, border surveillance, and phytosanitary measures are critical for controlling the entry of diseased plant materials, contaminated soil, or vectors. Establishing trap nurseries for monitoring pathogen races, such as rust diseases in wheat, helps in detecting changes in virulence and resistance breakdown under natural field conditions. Farmer awareness and community-level participation in biosecurity measures further enhance the effectiveness of quarantine systems Palm M.E *et al.,* (2001).

4. Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs)

Adopting GAPs reduces pathogen build-up and minimizes the risk of disease outbreaks. Key practices include crop rotation to break pathogen life cycles, intercropping to increase biodiversity, and using certified disease-free planting materials. Proper irrigation and drainage systems prevent waterlogging and reduce the risk of waterborne diseases. Sanitation practices such as removing infected plant debris, disinfecting tools, and maintaining clean nurseries also play an important role in disease prevention. GAPs not only improve plant health but also contribute to higher yields and environmental sustainability Leong *et al.,* (2024).

5. Integrated Disease Management (IDM)

IDM combines biological, cultural, genetic, and chemical methods into a comprehensive strategy that reduces reliance on any single control measure. The approach emphasizes the use of disease-resistant varieties, crop diversification, and biological control agents, supported by minimal and need-based chemical applications. Monitoring and early intervention are essential components of IDM, preventing minor infections from developing into large-scale epidemics. IDM reduces pathogen resistance development, lowers chemical residues in food and the environment, and ensures long-term sustainability in disease management Nigam R *et al.,* (2024).

6. Development of Resistant and Climate-Adapted Cultivars

Breeding for resistance remains the most effective and economical strategy for long-term management of plant diseases. Resistance genes are incorporated into cultivars through conventional breeding, marker-assisted selection, and modern molecular tools. Climate-adapted cultivars that combine disease resistance with traits such as heat tolerance, drought tolerance, and salinity resistance are increasingly important under changing environmental conditions. Gene pyramiding, gene recycling, and gene deployment strategies are employed to prevent the rapid breakdown of resistance and to provide durable protection against evolving pathogens Mishra M *et al.,* (2016).

7. Research and Innovation

Continuous investment in research is critical for combating emerging plant diseases. Understanding the biology, ecology, and epidemiology of pathogens provides insights into their survival, spread, and adaptation. Modern tools such as next-generation sequencing, CRISPR-based diagnostics, biosensors, and machine learning are revolutionizing early detection and disease forecasting Kingsolver *et al.,* (1983). Research on phytobiomes—the microbial communities associated with plants—offers new opportunities for natural disease suppression. Innovative management practices and novel genetic resources ensure preparedness against future disease outbreaks sundin *et al.,* (2016).

Conclusion

Emerging plant diseases represent one of the most critical challenges to global agriculture, arising from a complex interplay of biological, environmental, and human-driven factors. The constant evolution of pathogens, coupled with globalization, climate change, and intensive farming practices, continues to erode the stability of existing management systems and poses significant threats to food security. While traditional diagnostic tools have played an important role in disease monitoring, their limitations in sensitivity, speed, and applicability to novel pathogens highlight the urgent need for more advanced technologies. Innovations such as next-generation sequencing, CRISPR-based detection, biosensors, and artificial intelligence offer unprecedented opportunities for early, accurate, and large-scale disease surveillance. However, their practical adoption depends on reducing costs, improving accessibility, and ensuring user-friendly application at the farm level. Ultimately, the sustainable management of emerging plant diseases requires an integrated approach that combines robust diagnostics, climate-resilient crop breeding, ecological farming practices, biological control strategies, and strengthened quarantine systems. Building strong networks that link researchers, policymakers, and farming communities will be essential to prevent and manage future outbreaks. By fostering international collaboration and investing in resilient agricultural systems, the global community can safeguard food production, minimize economic losses, and ensure long-term sustainability in the face of evolving plant health threats.

References

1. Morris, C.E. and Moury, B., 2019. Revisiting the concept of host range of plant pathogens. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, *57*(1), pp.63-90.
2. Jarosz, Andrew M., and Anita L. Davelos. "Effects of disease in wild plant populations and the evolution of pathogen aggressiveness." *New Phytologist* 129, no. 3 (1995): 371-387.
3. Kumar, N., Maurya, P.D., Raghuvanshi, R.S., Rahmani, U. and Verma, B., 2022. Role of dispersal in different plant pathogens. *Dr. Alok Ranjan Sahu*, *91*.
4. Nazarov, P.A., Baleev, D.N., Ivanova, M.I., Sokolova, L.M. and Karakozova, M.V., 2020. Infectious plant diseases: etiology, current status, problems and prospects in plant protection. *Acta naturae*, *12*(3), p.46.
5. Martinelli, Federico, Riccardo Scalenghe, Salvatore Davino, Stefano Panno, Giuseppe Scuderi, Paolo Ruisi, Paolo Villa et al. "Advanced methods of plant disease detection. A review." *Agronomy*

for sustainable development 35, no. 1 (2015): 1-25.

6. Mastin, A.J., van den Bosch, F., Bourhis, Y. and Parnell, S., 2022. Epidemiologically-based strategies for the detection of emerging plant pathogens. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), p.10972.
7. Patel, R.N., Patel, D.R., Bhandari, R., Silawat, N., Homkar, U. and Sharma, J., 2016. Upcoming plant pathological techniques in the disease diagnosis. *Journal of Microbioogical Experiments*, *3*(2), p.00087.
8. Dhavale, M.C., Aiwale, H.N. and Sarnobat, D.H., 2025. Modern Diagnostic Techniques in Plant Pathology. *Plant Health and Disease Management: A Modern Approach*, p.184.
9. Donoso, A. and Valenzuela, S., 2018. In-field molecular diagnosis of plant pathogens: recent trends and future perspectives. *Plant pathology*, *67*(7), pp.1451-1461.
10. Wang, Y.M., Ostendorf, B., Gautam, D., Habili, N. and Pagay, V., 2022. Plant viral disease detection: From molecular diagnosis to optical sensing technology—A multidisciplinary review. *Remote Sensing*, *14*(7), p.1542.
11. Buja, I., Sabella, E., Monteduro, A.G., Chiriacò, M.S., De Bellis, L., Luvisi, A. and Maruccio, G., 2021. Advances in plant disease detection and monitoring: From traditional assays to in-field diagnostics. *Sensors*, *21*(6), p.2129.
12. Jackulin, C. and Murugavalli, S.J.M.S., 2022. A comprehensive review on detection of plant disease using machine learning and deep learning approaches. *Measurement: Sensors*, *24*, p.100441.
13. Fira, D., Dimkić, I., Berić, T., Lozo, J. and Stanković, S., 2018. Biological control of plant pathogens by Bacillus species. *Journal of biotechnology*, *285*, pp.44-55.
14. Hirooka, T. and Ishii, H., 2013. Chemical control of plant diseases. *Journal of General Plant Pathology*, *79*(6), pp.390-401.
15. Palm, M.E., 2001. Systematics and the impact of invasive fungi on agriculture in the United States: Knowledge of the systematics of plant-inhabiting fungi is fundamental for making appropriate plant quarantine decisions and thereby safeguarding US plant resources. *BioScience*, *51*(2), pp.141-147.
16. Leong, W.H., Teh, S.Y., Hossain, M.M., Nadarajaw, T., Zabidi-Hussin, Z., Chin, S.Y., Lai, K.S. and Lim, S.H.E., 2020. Application, monitoring and adverse effects in pesticide use: The importance of reinforcement of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs). *Journal of environmental management*, *260*, p.109987. Nigam, R., 2024. *Principles of Integrated Disease Management*. Academic Guru Publishing House.
17. Mishra, M., Singh, S., Singh, D., Raj, C., Tiwari, R.K. and Tripathy, P.C., 2025. Innovative and climate-resilient approaches to root and tuber crop disease management. In *Sustainable Production of Root and Tuber Crops* (pp. 209-226). Routledge.
18. Kingsolver, C.H., Melching, J.S. and Bromfield, K.R., 1983. The threat of exotic plant pathogens to agriculture in the United States. *Plant Disease*, *67*(6), pp.595-600.

19. Sundin, G.W., Castiblanco, L.F., Yuan, X., Zeng, Q. and Yang, C.H., 2016. Bacterial disease management: challenges, experience, innovation and future prospects: challenges in bacterial molecular plant pathology. *Molecular plant pathology*, *17*(9), pp.1506-1518.